

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/874,042	06/06/2001	Albert D. March	11346-008	7614	
Isis E. Caulder	7590 01/11/2007		· EXAM	INER	
Bereskin & Parr			LIVERSEDGE, JENNIFER L		
Box 407 40 King Street	West		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Toronto, ON M			3692		
CANADA					
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/874,042	MARCH, ALBERT D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jennifer Liversedge	3692		

	Jennifer Liversedge	3692	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below. 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6,9-16 and 18-25</u> .	•		
Claim(s) rejected. 1-5,0,9-70 and 70-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 26-29.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	•		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) Applicant has requested evidence for the Official Notice taken in the Final Office Action for the method of charging existing financial cards. In response to this request, Examiner lists as a few of many examples: Pub. No. US 2002/0022966 A1 to Horgan; Pub. No. US 2002/0046341 A1 to Kazaks et al.; "Tests of Electronic Purses May Bring End to Using Cash" by Saul Hansell in Journal Record, 1994. Each of the listed references refer to the charging and recharging of an existing card..

2) Further, with regards to the amendments entered in the After Final Amendment, the independent claims are amended to include language the use of an existing card (as opposed to issuance of a new card) and the maintaining of anonymity by the recipient. Examiner cites the following references as examples of disclosing transactions in which anonymity is preserved within the context of funds transfering: Pub. No. US 2002/0046341 A1 to Kazaks et al.; US Patent No. 5,778,067 to Jones et al.; US Patent No. 5,857,152 to Everett; "Tests of Electronic Purses May Bring End to Using Cash" by Saul Hansell in Journal Recod, 1994. Each of these references refer to funds transfer in which users retain anonymity.

RICHARD E. CHILCOT, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER